Case 1:05-cv-00027 Document 210 Filed 10/20/2006 Page 1 of 2 F-118 T-186 P.02/04 6702935262 From-LAW OFFICES Oct-19-06 12:45pm MARK B. HANSON, ESQ. 1 Second Floor, Macaranas Building Beach Road, Garapan PMB 738 P.O. Box 10,000 2 Saipan, Mariana Islands 96950 3 (670) 233-8600 Telephone: 4 (670) 233-5262 Facsimile: 5 Attorney for Defendant Robert A. Bisom 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 7 CASE NO. CV 05-00027 ROBERT D. BRADSHAW, 8 Plaintiff, 9 SECOND STIPULATION TO vs. REQUEST ADDITIONAL TIME 10 COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING TO THIRD MARIANA ISLANDS, et al., 11 AMENDED COMPLAINT Defendants. 12 13 COMES NOW Defendant Robert A. Bisom, through his attorney of record, and 14 Plaintiff Robert D. Bradshaw, pro se, who HEREBY STIPULATE, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 6(b) and L.R. 7.1.h.1, to an additional two-week extension of time for Defendant Bisom to file 16 a pleading responsive to Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, and respectfully request that 17 the Court extend such time for Defendant Bisom's response to Friday, November 3, 2006. A 18 proposed order is submitted herewith. If the Court grants this Stipulated Request, the total 19 time extension beyond the ten day response time provided by Fed, R. Civ. P. 15(a) would be 20 four weeks. 21 This is the second stipulation for an extension of time for Mr. Bisom to file a responsive 22 pleading. On October 3, 2006, based on a stipulation by the parties, the Court extended by 23 two weeks the time for Mr. Bisom's response, originally due within ten days of the filing of the 24 Third Amended Complaint. The first extension was through October 20, 2006. Additional 25 extensions are provided for in Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) where the request for additional time is 26 submitted prior to the expiration of the first extension and for cause shown.

27

28

From-LAW OFFICES

Oct-19-06 12:45pm

T-186 P.03/04 F-118

Further, the undersigned counsel for Mr. Bisom declares that the following reasons necessitate this second extension of time:

Given the length and breadth of Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint, the numerous prior rulings by the Court with regard to Plaintiff's pleadings, the extent of the related, ongoing action(s) in the Commonwealth courts, the lengthy period of time to which Plaintiff's allegations relate, the need to address several of Plaintiff's claims at this stage of the litigation, and the undersigned's admitted underestimation of the time it will take to prepare a filing in response to the Third Amended Complaint, an additional, two-week extension of time is fair and reasonable. Despite all due diligence, the undersigned is unable to file a complete responsive pleading on or before the date first extended. The extension of this time to respond to the Third Amended Complaint will have no effect on any scheduled deadlines because, withe the exception of the other defendants' deadline to file responsive pleadings, there are no other scheduled deadlines.

Plaintiff Bradshaw concurs in the additional extension as indicated by his participation in this Stipulation.

SO STIPULATED:

Roleit BB 1 Bolise

DATE: 10/19/06

Pro se

MARK B. HANSON

DATE: 10/19/2006

Attorney for Defendant Robert A. Bisom